In approaching the study of the medieval Jewry of Colchester, it has to be accepted at the outset that it is not possible to produce a survey comparable in scope to Dr Lipman's magisterial work on the Jews of medieval Norwich. The Colchester Jewry was by no means as large as that of Norwich, and thus attracted less contemporary notice: the volume and variety of the surviving records for Colchester is far more restricted than is the case for the Norwich community. Indeed, it may seem that a study of the Colchester Jewry is rendered somewhat superfluous by Dr Lipman's work, which he describes 'with justice as 'a portrait of a medieval Anglo-Jewish community which might also broadly represent life in other similar Jewries of the period'.

There are nevertheless many respects in which the Colchester community merits attention. First, though never one of the major centres of medieval English Jewry, it consistently supported at least a handful of families, including at times some individuals of the first rank in terms of wealth. Again, Colchester itself presents some features of interest which make it worthy of study: it was a town of some consequence, a chartered borough, the centre of a local cloth manufacture with a national reputation (Colchester russet), and the seat of a major abbey and a formidable royal castle. As a trading centre, Colchester was on the edge of the populous area of East Anglia, and within reach of London, whilst through its port of the New Hythe it was linked to the seaports of the East coast and with the Low Countries, for already in the thirteenth century there were Flemings amongst the borough population. Placed thus in a more favourable situation, the restricted growth of the Colchester Jewry may prove somewhat surprising. Indeed, a study of the Colchester community, precisely because it fell into rather a different category from that analysed by Dr Lipman, may well suggest refinements of some of his more general conclusions. Finally, not the least important aspect of the present investigation is that it serves to give us a much firmer grasp of some previously puzzling aspects of the medieval history of Colchester.

There has in fact been one previous attempt to survey the medieval Jewry of the town: this took the form of a chapter in Dr E.L. Cutts' history of Colchester, written in the late nineteenth century. The chapter was substantially the work of the great scholar Joseph Jacobs, but is nevertheless full of inaccuracies, some of which are highly misleading. This, and the progress which has been made since Cutts' day, in printing and drawing attention to the sources for the history of medieval English Jewry, makes a fresh look at the Colchester community desirable.

Our initial problem is to form some idea of the size and distribution of that community. Population size is particularly difficult to estimate, for there exists nothing which even approaches a census of the community before the list of properties prepared at the expulsion in 1290, which clearly portrays the Jewry in a run-down state. For the most part, therefore, we are forced to calculate on the basis of scattered and often incidental references to individuals in chancery enrolments, in cases recorded in the rolls of the Exchequer, and, later, the Exchequer of the Jews, and in the few Starrs and similar documents which have survived. Such sources are of uneven distribution, and any one category of them may not disclose the existence even of men of substance in the community. For example, it is only the reference to a deed of 1158 which tells us of the existence of Isaac, Joseph and Benjamin, sons of Yehiel, who made over for fifteen years their rights to parts of a house in Stockwell Street to a fourth son of Yehiel, Samuel.

It is moreover quite possible that such sources as we have mentioned neglect altogether the poorer members of the community — those without sufficient means to bring them to the notice of the government or to cause them to feature in deeds or legal wrangles. The size of such a class can only be a matter for conjecture.

Nevertheless, it is probably the case that a broad enough spectrum of sources has survived for some periods to enable us to glimpse most of the adult males of the community who were of much account. At no time can we trace more than a dozen such men, so that allowing five to a family we must assume a total of not many more than sixty souls at any one time. The list of Jewish properties at the expulsion names seven males, some of whom may no longer have been resident, as many of the properties were being rented to Christians. But, broadly speaking, the picture of a shrunken community of about half its former size, or less, at the expulsion, would accord with the apparent situation in other towns.

Of course, calculations based on an assumption of five to a family are rather more reliable for the larger communities such as Norwich, for in them aberrational factors, such as childless couples, unmarried males, protracted survival of the aged or particularly prolific marriages, would tend to balance each other out. In a smaller community the chances of error caused by the intrusion of such distorting factors are relatively high. Dr Lipman calculates the population of the Norwich Jewry by examining the totals of fiscal levies from the whole of English Jewry and the percentage of these totals paid by the Norwich community, then calculating the same percentage of a total population of 4-5,000 for the Jewries of England. Again, this method cannot be applied with an confidence to the smaller communities, where the distortion of the result caused by large fiscal contributions from one or two very rich individuals would be proportionately greater. Thus Colchester paid the ninth largest contribution to the Northampton Donum of 1194, but by 1221 seems to have fallen well back in terms of
relative wealth, being sixteenth and last in the list of contributions to an aid for the marriage of the king's sister." As Dr Lipman has pointed out, these figures are problematic as they record receipts, not assessments; even so, the drop in Colchester's ranking is striking, and may well relate to the fact that Isaac of Colchester, by far the richest man in the community, was flourishing in 1194 but had recently died in 1221."

A factor which may make even tentative conclusions about population size less meaningful is that of mobility. It is clear that many of the Jews of medieval England were highly mobile, with financial interests and family links in many places. Such a spread of interests is implied by reference to a Josce of Colchester, Jew of Lincoln, who held houses in Oxford." One who wandered even more widely was Aaron of Ireland, son of Benjamin of Colchester, who in 1283 gave up tenements in that town to the king." Again, Herbert Loewe argued that Isaac son of Benedict, prominent as a Colchester Jew around the middle of the thirteenth century, is to be identified with Isaac son of Benedict Gabbay of Lincoln. The identification perhaps falls short of absolute proof, but it is at least certain that the son-in-law of Isaac Gabbay, Josce son of Aaron, held houses in the centre of Colchester, yet also had interests in Lincoln and London, at at times lived in Dunwich."

There is one piece of evidence which points to some spectacular international connections of the Colchester Jewry. In the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford, is an object known as the Bodleian Bowl, of 13th-century date, which was found in a moat in Norfolk in the 17th century." This bronze bowl has a Hebrew inscription, which, though difficult to interpret, contains the information that it was the 'gift of Joseph, son of the holy Rabbi Yehiel.' The bowl is apparently of French workmanship, and assuredly refers to Rabbi Yehiel of Paris, a famous Talmudic scholar, who, with his son Joseph, went to Palestine in 1260. It has in the past been assumed that the bowl was used in the Yeshiva at Acre, founded by Yehiel and directed, after his death in 1267, by Joseph and that it may have come into England as part of some Crusader's booty. But we have already noted a Colchester document of 1258 recording the transaction in which Rabbi Yehiel's sons Rabbi Isaac, Rabbi Joseph and Benjamin make over to their brother Rabbi Samuel their shares in a house in Stockwell Street, left to them by their grandfather. It may well be, therefore, that the Bodleian Bowl represents a gift by Joseph to the Colchester Jews, amongst whom lived his brother.

If an estimate of their numbers proves difficult, it is possible to be rather more confident in the matter of the distribution of the Jews throughout the medieval town, — and here Dr Lipman's conclusion that the Jewish communities were to be found in the vicinity of market places" is fully borne out. In Colchester the medieval market was held in the High Street, running east to west through the centre of the town. There was no market square, but the central point of the market was close to the Moot Hall, at a point where St Runwald's church stood in the middle of the street." Running off High Street to the north were the

Plate 1. The Bodleian Bowl. Photo: Ashmolean Museum.
lanes now known as East and West Stockwell Streets. It is to
the area just described that the bulk of records of Jewish
properties relate. The 1258 deed of the sons of Yehiel
already noted, mentions a property in Stockwell Street,
whilst another, roughly contemporary deed records the pur-
chase by Isaac son of Samuel of a house in East Stockwell
Street. Again, at the expulsion, one Simon held a house in
Stockwell Street, which might conceivably have been one of
the two properties just described. Another mid-century
deed relates to an exchange of property between Master
Richard of Peldon and Aaron son of Samuel, who hands
over a messuage in East Stockwell Street. There are many
more references which locate Jewish properties rather
vaguely 'in the market'. This applies to several stone houses
in St Runwald's parish: we have references to their acquisi-
tion by Aaron son of Samuel, and their disposal by his sons
Samuel and Josce in the 1270s. The stone houses were
sold to William Fitz Warin, who probably held other pro-
PERTIES in the market area, and in 1290 three members of
the Jewish community were renting houses or stalls from
the same William.

It was once believed that the Stockwell area was the sole
site of the Colchester Jewry — on the assumption that the
community was forced to reside in a restricted quarter. But
in fact Jews are found holding property in other areas.
Thus, in the deed which marks the exchange of properties
between Richard of Peldon and Aaron son of Samuel, the
message acquired by Aaron is clearly described as lying
between the market on the north side and Culver Lane on
the south side — that is to say, it lay on the south side of
High Street, opposite the Stockwell Streets. A century later,
it was recalled that one of the Jews of the High Street
period, Armerin, had held a house 'opposite Cornhill' —
which was the extreme western end of the High Street,
perhaps two hundred yards from the Stockwell Streets. In
addition, of course, the Jews held properties in various parts
of the town which had been pledged as security for loans:
such as the house which Ursel held in St Peter's parish, of
the pledge of Ralph de Haye.

A new estimation of the limits of Jewish settlement enables
us to suggest an answer to the mystery of two large
thirteenth-century coin hoards discovered in Colchester. In
1902 and 1969 two hoards of silver pennies, totalling
24,000, were discovered close together under shops on the
south side of the High Street opposite the site of the
medieval Moot Hall. It has, in the past, been argued that
these hoards could not have been connected with the Jews
because they were not located in the Stockwell Street
'Jewry', and some fanciful alternative theories have been
advanced. One such theory would connect the hoards with the
constables of the castle, reputed to hold a stone house in
the vicinity of the places of deposit. This whole explanation
rests on a series of unproven conjectures, which it is not
necessary to examine here. But the stone house alleged to
have been held by the constables of the castle was probably
amongst those held by the sons of Aaron son of Samuel,
who brought them from Richard of Peldon.

The knowledge that Jews, and in particular Aaron and
his sons, had houses on the south side of High Street makes
it very likely that the hoards were deposited by them. They
had a motive: to conceal their cash in order to save it from
the increasingly punitive tallages of the crown. Again, the
expulsion of the community in 1290 would explain both the
fact that the hoards remained hidden and that no deposits
later than that date were made. Indeed, the dates of deposi-
tion which have been provisionally worked out make Jewish
origin seem all the more likely. Three major deposition
dates seem likely: 1248, 1256 and 1268-78. Now the first
of these coincides with the imprisonment of unnamed Col-
chester Jews per preceptum regis pro auro ad opus regis. The
second date comes within a year of the demand for a tallage
on the Jewish community, which may well have taken some
months to bear fiscal fruit. Finally, we know that the early
and mid 1270s were a period of increasing pressure on the
community, and perhaps especially on Josce and Samuel
the sons of Aaron who in 1274-5 were paying their arrears
of tallage by selling their houses. Such measures do not
militate against the idea that these or other Jews had a large
hoard of cash: in the darkening atmosphere of the 1270s,
the impulse to conceal wealth and to liquify assets must
have been strong. Whilst absolute proof of Jewish origin
for the hoards is lacking, it is therefore suggested that this
seems the most likely explanation of them.

There is one possible exception to the general distribu-
tional pattern of Jewish houses and associated buildings as
set out above, though the information comes from a late and
curious source. In a copy of several chronicles and kindred
sources relating to the Colchester abbey of St John, which
was written in the abbey in 1526, there appears a sort of
universal chronicle extending to AD 1382. The subject
matter is diverse, but includes little of local relevance, with
the principal exception of the following entries:

Anno domini Mcll Wilhelmus Spalduwies abbas huicu
eclesie Index Iudeorum et Iusticiarius Regis Itinerans et
de fforesta Regis a domino rege henrico tercio constitutus
est.

Anno domini Mcll Purgtatum Capitolium Iudeorum 
ixuta Colecestriam in loco qui nunc Campus Sancti 
Johannis vulgariter nuncupatur ab antiquo situm et quod ad
consilla et judicia sua facienda Rex Anglie henricus secundus
dudum eis concessit idem Wilhelmus Abbas in honorem
Sancti Thome Martiris consecrari fecit ipso die passionis 
eiusdem sancti.

The capitulum Iudeorum is fairly clearly the site of a
beth din, and its 'purging' and consecration as a Christian
chapel is of outstanding interest. But there are problems
about this narrative. Internally it is perfectly consistent:
1250-51 are years which do indeed fall within the abbacy of
William of Spaldwick, and, as we shall see, there is
nothing improbable in the donation to the Jewish com-
munity of this building in the reign of Henry II (ob. 1189).
The problem arises from the fact that a reference to a chapel
of St Thomas in the suburb of Colchester, found in the St
John’s abbey cartulary, clearly relates to the period before
1250-51. Now St John’s Green, which is probably how
one should translate campus sancti Johannis, was indeed in
the suburb of medieval Colchester standing just a few hun-
dred yards beyond the southern walls of the town. It was
there that a chapel of St Thomas stood in 1379, but all
trace of this has since disappeared. It would seem that the only way of reconciling the apparent conflict of evidence is to suppose that the pre-1250 chapel of St Thomas was sited elsewhere, perhaps close to the building in which the beth din functioned, but that in 1251 the Jews were ejected from the latter and the events recorded in the chronicle took place. Such developments would indeed be consistent with generally increasing hostility towards the Jews on the part of the royal government.

If the account in the St John’s chronicle is to be trusted, then it provides us with a glimpse of the Colchester community in the days of its inception. References to individual Colchester Jews appear from the 1180s onwards, 47 so that a date for their settlement, at which a royal grant of property might be made, late in the reign of Henry II is perfectly reasonable. Relative to other Jewries, Colchester is of fairly late growth, and this may explain why the community never became very large.

The Norwich Jewry was established by the 1140s, half a century before that of Colchester, and seems to have extended its role as the provider of cash for rural landowners and townsmen alike throughout Norfolk and Suffolk. Ipswich, for example, was treated as an offshoot of Norwich. 48 In the same way, south and central Essex fell within the sphere of influence of London’s Jewry. However, the expanding town of Colchester, which received its charter of incorporation in 1189, lay between these two early centres of Anglo-Jewry, and, by the late twelfth century had become economically significant enough to attract a resident Jewish community, centred on the enormously wealthy figure of Isaac of Colchester.

The community which then developed, though relatively small, was remarkably buoyant. Every generation produced one or two central figures of wealth and importance: first Isaac, 49 then Ursel and his sons, with Isaac son of Benedict and Aaron son of Samuel, and then, in the later thirteenth century the sons of Aaron: Samuel and, particularly, Josce. 50 The community was, moreover large enough to require organization: the functioning of the beth din has already been noted, whilst it is clear that there was a synagogue in the town; 51 as well as the Jewish chirographers necessitated by royal financial administration. We hear of a Benedict ballivus Judeorum, 52 and of an Isaac capellanus. 53 It was in many ways a self-sufficient little community, marked off from the Christian burgesses not only by religious difference but by the fact that its members came under the jurisdiction of the sheriff of Essex rather than that of the borough’s bailiffs and other officers.

The degree to which the Jews stood apart from the main patterns of life in the town can however be exaggerated. An examination of the identity of the Christian chirographers is instructive in this context. Of the six Christian chirographers of Colchester whose names are known, three and perhaps four, are known to have been bailiffs. 54 Given that our knowledge of thirteenth century bailiffs of Colchester is very patchy, this is a very high proportion. Dr Lipman has underlined the importance of the chirographers as agents of control of the Jewry, 55 so that we may say that although the Jews were beyond the jurisdic-

APPENDIX: A HITHERTO UNKNOWN JUSTICE OF THE JEWS?

In the entry for 1250 in the St John’s abbey Universal Chronicle which has been quoted above, William of Spaldwick is alleged to have been created a Justice of the Jews. Examination of C.A.F. Meekings, ‘Justices of the Jews, 1218-68, a provisional list’, B.I.H.R. XXVIII (1955), reveals no Justice of that name. But from that list we only know for certain of two justices of that period, Philip Lovel and Robert de Ho, whereas there were often four men in office simultaneously. It is thus not impossible that the chronicle’s record of William of Spaldwick’s appointment is a valid one, giving us evidence of a hitherto unknown Justice of the Jews.
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